

# CITY OF SOMERVILLE, MASSACHUSETTS OFFICE OF STRATEGIC PLANNING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT JOSEPH A. CURTATONE MAYOR

PLANNING DIVISION

### ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS MEMBERS

HERBERT F. FOSTER, JR., CHAIRMAN ORSOLA SUSAN FONTANO, CLERK RICHARD ROSSETTI T. F. SCOTT DARLING, III, ESQ. DANIELLE EVANS ELAINE SEVERINO (ALT.) JOSH SAFDIE (ALT.)

Case #: ZBA #2007-22-R0210 Site: 14-18 Main Street

Date of Decision: March 17, 2010

**Decision:** <u>Petition Approved with Conditions</u> **Date Filed with City Clerk:** March 18, 2010

# **ZBA DECISION**

Applicant Name: Jim Murray

**Applicant Address:** 114 Broadway, Somerville, MA 02145

**Property Owner Name**: 14-18 Main Street, LLC

**Property Owner Address:** 114 Broadway, Somerville, MA 02145

Agent Name: Jai Singh Khalsa

**Agent Address:** Khalsa Design, Inc., 17 Ivaloo Street, Somerville, MA 02143

<u>Legal Notice:</u> Applicant, Jim Murray, & Owner, J Murray & Sons, seek a revision to

Special Permit ZBA 2007-22 (SZO §5.3.8). The revision is to construct balconies and alter the garage door and location of windows.

Zoning District/Ward: NB zone/Ward 4

Zoning Approval Sought: Revision to Special Permit# 2007-22

Date of Application:February 21, 2010Date(s) of Public Hearing:March 17, 2010Date of Decision:March 17, 2010

Vote: 5-0

Appeal #ZBA 2007-22-R0210 was opened before the Zoning Board of Appeals at Somerville City Hall on March 17, 2010. After one hearing of deliberation, the Zoning Board of Appeals took a vote.

### **DESCRIPTION:**

The proposed revisions are shown on the elevations dated January 26, 2010 and the site plan dated December 23, 2009.

Date: March 18, 2010 Case #: ZBA 2007-22-R0210 Site: 14-18 Main Street

The applicant is proposing the following revisions to the original proposal:

- a. Add two balconies (one with stairs) to the north elevation, two balconies to the Edgar Ave elevation, and one balcony to the roof of the three story bump out in the rear of the structure. The purpose of the balconies is to provide the residential units with a small amount of outdoor space.
- b. Eliminate a window to the left of the front door. The view inside of the window would be of the interior staircase. This revision was withdrawn.
- c. Change the garage door from two smaller doors to a single large door to better serve circulation into the garage. The egress door from the garage would be relocated from the Edgar St elevation to the rear of the building.
- d. Lower the height of the stucco finish in the rear of the building and replace with clapboard.
- e. Shift two windows in the rear bump out on the Edgar Ave elevation to coordinate with stair landings. This change is on the plans; however, the built structure does not include windows on this portion of the building.

### FINDINGS FOR SPECIAL PERMIT REVISION

There are not specific required findings for a revision to a special permit. Rather, the Board reviews the original findings for the specific zoning relief requested and identifies any findings that have changed as a result of the proposed revision. Below, the Board has reviewed the findings that are affected by the revisions.

4. <u>Site and Area Compatibility:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "(i)s designed in a manner that is compatible with the characteristics of the built and unbuilt surrounding area, including land uses."

The revisions, except for the elimination of the front window, are designed in a manner that is compatible with the built and unbuilt surrounding area. The balconies are placed so that they would not closely abut an adjacent residential structures nor negatively impact the appearance of the building. The other alterations including the size of the garage door, elimination of windows in the rear of the house, and reduction in the amount of stucco in the rear are compatible with the original approval. The elimination of the front window disrupts the symmetry of the building and creates a blank wall along the sidewalk. The Applicants submitted a revised plan before the ZBA meeting showing that this window will be installed. Revised plans also ensure that the detailing and landscaping shown along the large stucco wall will remain a part of the project to visually break down the large blank wall along the street. Details include: a brick soldier course or similar detail along the Edgar Ave elevation, vertical elements in the stucco below the column lines that extend to the ground, and landscaping for the 3 foot buffer along Edgar Ave, showing at least 15 bushes.

Date: March 18, 2010 Case #: ZBA 2007-22-R0210 Site: 14-18 Main Street

## **DECISION:**

Present and sitting were Members Herbert Foster, Orsola Susan Fontano, Richard Rossetti, Scott Darling and Elaine Severino with Danielle Evans absent. Upon making the above findings, Susan Fontano made a motion to approve the request for a special permit. Scott Darling seconded the motion. Wherefore the Zoning Board of Appeals voted **5-0** to **APPROVE** the request. In addition the following conditions were attached:

#	Condition		Timeframe for Compliance	Verified (initial)	Notes
	Approval is for the construction of six one- or two-bedroom units and 8 concealed parking spaces. This approval is based upon the following application materials and the plans submitted by the Applicant:		BP/CO	Plng.	
1	Date (Stamp Date)	Submission			
	Feb 26, 2010	Initial revision application submitted to the City Clerk's Office			
	(Aug 14, 2007)	Original plans submitted to OSPCD (proposed parking plan & front/right elevations: A.1c, rear elevation: A.2A)			
	Jan 26, 2010	Modified plans submitted to OSPCD (N & E elevations: A-300, Main St & Edgar Ave elevations: A-301) (Revised A-301 is date stamped 3/17/10)			
	Dec 23, 2009	Modified plans submitted to OSPCD (Arch Site Plan: A-020)			
	TBD	Modified front elevation and Edgar St elevation (with landscaping)			
	Any changes to the approved plans that are not <i>de minimis</i> must receive ZBA approval.				
2	The garage access shall be revised so that inbound access is on the right of the entryway and outbound egress is on the left		СО	T&P	
3	Landscaping should be installed in compliance with National Nurserymen's Standards		Perpetual	ISD	
4	The Applicant is responsible for notifying the Planning Staff at least five (5) working days in advance of a request for a final sign-off on a building permit from ISD. Issuance of a building permit shall be contingent upon a satisfactory inspection of site work to ensure compliance with the conditions of this special permit and variance.		Final sign off	Plng.	

Date: March 18, 2010 Case #: ZBA 2007-22-R0210 Site: 14-18 Main Street

Attest, by the Zoning Board of Appeals:	Herbert Foster, Chairman Orsola Susan Fontano, Clerk Richard Rossetti T.F. Scott Darling, III, Esq. Elaine Severino (Alt.)
Attest, by the Administrative Assistant:	awn M. Pereira
Copies of this decision are filed in the Somerville City Clerk's offic Copies of all plans referred to in this decision and a detailed record ZBA proceedings are filed in the Somerville Planning Dept.	
CLERK'S CERTIFICATE	
Any appeal of this decision must be filed within twent City Clerk, and must be filed in accordance with M.G.L	ty days after the date this notice is filed in the Office of the c. 40A, sec. 17 and SZO sec. 3.2.10.
certification of the City Clerk that twenty days have ela Clerk and no appeal has been filed, or that if such ap	nce shall take effect until a copy of the decision bearing the psed after the decision has been filed in the Office of the City peal has been filed, that it has been dismissed or denied, is not indexed in the grantor index under the name of the owner icate of title.
bearing the certification of the City Clerk that twenty Office of the City Clerk and either that no appeal has recorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds an of record or is recorded and noted on the owner's ce	ecial permit shall not take effect until a copy of the decision days have elapsed after the decision has been filed in the been filed or the appeal has been filed within such time, is not indexed in the grantor index under the name of the owner ertificate of title. The person exercising rights under a duly will reverse the permit and that any construction performed
Inspectional Services shall be required in order to proce	g or registering. Furthermore, a permit from the Division of eed with any project favorably decided upon by this decision, nee to the Building Official that this decision is properly
This is a true and correct copy of the decision filed on and twenty days have elapsed, and FOR VARIANCE(S) WITHIN  there have been no appeals filed in the Office any appeals that were filed have been finally of FOR SPECIAL PERMIT(S) WITHIN  there have been no appeals filed in the Office there has been an appeal filed.	dismissed or denied.

Signed\_\_

<u>City Clerk</u> Date